

# Educating the Future: The Impact of Pedagogical Reform in Aerodynamics

David L. Darmofal Aeronautics & Astronautics Massachusetts Institute of Technology

1





- 1. Overview of course and its evolution
- 2. Project-based learning
- 3. Conceptual learning
- 4. Student performance and evaluation data

### **Course Overview (MIT 16.100)**

- Disciplinary subject in aerodynamics (5 hours of class/week)
- Enrollment typically around 40 students (juniors and seniors)
- Students will have previous fluid dynamics:
  - conservation laws
  - 2-D potential flows
  - some basic aerodynamics
- Not quite a required course but 2/3's of students take it
- Course topics include:
  - Incompressible, subsonic, transonic, and supersonic flows
  - Viscous flows with an emphasis on boundary layers
  - Wind tunnel testing and computational methods

#### **Impetus for Reform**

- Desire within aeronautics for technically-strong engineers with a more product-oriented, systems background
- Increased role of computation in aerodynamic design
- Improved understanding of technical learning and effective pedagogy
- Poor student performance from previous years especially in conceptual understanding, ability to synthesize concepts, and solution of problems outside of subject experience

#### **Results from 1998 Final Exam**



Poor student performance on this exam that required application of concepts beyond previous experience and synthesis of concepts on complex problems



- 1. Overview of course and evolution of curriculum
- 2. Project-based learning
- 3. Conceptual learning
- 4. Student performance and evaluation data

#### **Project Focus: Model-based Design**



- Places students in typical aerodynamic design cycle
- Requires application of fundamental concepts to a realistic, complex aerodynamic analysis and design
- Demonstrates interaction of experimentation, theory, and simulation in design

### **Implementation of Project**

- A semester-long, integrative team project developed with The Boeing Company concerning the aerodynamic analysis and design of a Blended-Wing Body (BWB) aircraft.
- Teams of approximately 4 students selected by staff to provide balance.
- Teams required to submit written interim & final reports:
  - Interim report focus: aerodynamic modeling,
  - Final report focus: correct modeling errors and design.
- Weekly two-hour group work sessions (required attendance). Staff is present to help answer questions.

### **Modern Computational Methods**

- Exposure to modern computational aerodynamic methods (3-D Euler, vortex lattice)
- Emphasis given to:
  - Fundamental fluid dynamics
  - Assumptions
  - Applicability
  - Sources of error



M = 1.2, Angle of Attack = 7 degrees

### Wind Tunnel Experiments

- Wind tunnel tests for validating low-speed modeling
- Flow visualization
- Emphasis given to:
  - Assumptions
  - Applicability
  - •Sources of error



### **Design Requirements**

- The design allows significant flexibility for the geometry modifications. To bound work, teams are required to:
  - Propose a specific design strategy for improving the performance including the aerodynamic rationale
  - Following the proposed approach, students utilize their aerodynamic models to determine if the performance is improved
  - If the approach fails, they must explain why this happened
- This hypothesis/design cycle is an important learning experience:
  - Students must apply conceptual understanding of aerodynamics before utilizing aerodynamic model (i.e. think-before-do)
  - Even if approach fails, students learn by uncovering what went wrong

# Student Comments: Team Project

- The team project was a great way to actually use in practice the stuff we were learning from lectures and the book.
- I designed a whole plane with the BWB project! I also have a complete conceptual overview of all the tools – CFD, Vortex Lattice Method, etc – and of all their assumptions that I understand exactly how to use them, which ones to use where, and what the limitations are of each.
- My group floundered for a while with the BWB project. In the end, we got everything to come to together, but it was tough to get through. I'm not sure that I would have wanted it any other way... I learn best when I struggle with material for a while.



- 1. Overview of course and evolution of curriculum
- 2. Project-based learning
- 3. Conceptual learning
- 4. Student performance and evaluation data

#### Constructivist Model of Learning (Piaget)

Argues that individuals learn by:

- Actively constructing their knowledge,
- Testing concepts on prior experience,
- Applying these concepts to new situations,
- Integrating the new concepts into prior knowledge.

*Misconceptions:* preconceptions that oppose principles being learned

Directly opposes the 'blank state' view of how people approach learning

### Pedagogical Elements to Address Conceptual Understanding

• Frequent formative assessments that make students' conceptions evident to themselves and to teachers

#### Utilize concept questions

• Summative assessments that target deep, conceptual understanding

Oral exams stressing conceptual understanding

• Coverage of fewer topics but in greater depth

### **Concept Questions**

(Ellis, Landis, & Meeker, 2000; Mazur, 1997)

- Focus on a single concept
- Are not solvable (in time given) relying solely on equations
- Reveal common difficulties with the concepts
- Have more than one plausible answer based on typical misunderstandings

### **Using Concept Questions**

- Pose concept question
- Ask students to indicate their answers: we currently use handheld Personal Response System (PRS)
- If most have the correct answer, give a brief explanation, then move on
- Else, clarify concept:
  - have students discuss with neighbors,
  - give mini-lecture on concept and answers
- Take another poll of students' answers
- A typical class period will include about 2-3 concept questions

#### **Example Question: Irrotational flow**

Given the following streamlines for a steady, 2-D flow:



Which of these flows is irrotational:

- (1) Only (a)
- (2) Only (b)
- (3) Both (a) & (b)
- (4) Neither
- (5) Not enough information

#### **Benefits of Concept Questions**

(Ellis, Landis, & Meeker, 2000)

- Provides immediate feedback on class understanding
- Gives students practice in using terminology and concepts
- Confronts common misconceptions
- Enhances inter-personal and communication skills
- Improves class participation and motivation

Past work in physics, chemistry, and mathematics has shown significant learning gains through the use of active learning strategies



Fig. 1. %<Gain> vs %<Pretest> score on the conceptual Mechanics Diagnostic (MD) or Force Concept Inventory (FCI) tests for 62 courses enrolling a total N = 6542 students: 14 traditional (T) courses (N = 2084) which made little or no use of interactive engagement (IE) methods, and 48 IE courses (N = 4458) which made considerable use of IE methods. Slope lines for the average of the 14 T courses <<g>>14T and 48 IE courses <<g>14T and 48 IE courses <<g>48IE are shown, as explained in the text.

#### **Pre-class Assignments**

- **Problem:** to address conceptual understanding in-class, students must begin learning beforehand
- Solution: Reading and homework assignments due prior to in-class discussion of material
- Homeworks are at same level as in past years when given after class
- Same amount of work for students, but front-loaded

- Leverage existing resources for basics & derivations while permitting faculty to be value-added in classroom
- Classroom interactions can focus on concepts
- Encourage self-directed learning
- Improve feedback time
- Homeworks can be designed to demonstrate typical misconceptions

- Exams designed to require students to identify and apply concepts
- Oral exams are an <u>active assessment</u> method engaging students while they are thinking
- Improves likelihood of an accurate assessment by its dynamic nature
- Valuable, authentic experience for students
- Opportunity for faculty to learn more about misconceptions

#### **Oral Exam: The Process**

- All exams are oral
- Students given question(s) 30 minutes prior to oral exam
- Oral exam conducted for 30 minutes
- Grading sheet (tabular) developed listing each concept to be assessed and the level achieved

### **Sample Oral Exam Question**



- Use of non-dimensional parameters (Re, M)
- Sources of drag (friction, induced, wave, separation)
- Transonic drag rise, critical Mach, and sweep effect
- Drag due to separation (on boom)
- Interference (downwash from tanker wing)

# Student Comments: A Learning Transition Occurred

- I was initially opposed to the idea that I had to do reading & homework before we ever covered the subjects. Once I transitioned I realized that it made learning so much easier!!
- I was skeptical at first of new techniques like PRS, hw on material that hasn't been learned in lecture. In the end, it worked out very well. This has been a course where I really felt like I got my money's worth.
- I really like the format of the class, I think it's actually a very good way to format a course. At first I didn't like how the homework was really tricky and it always came before we went over the material in lecture, but after a little bit I didn't mind it.
- Doing homework before the lectures is good... makes actual learning in lectures possible.

## Student Comments: Oral Exams

- The oral exams are an excellent measure of understanding.
- Oral exams [are the best part of the subject], I think these gave a good opportunity to show what you understand.
- Oral exams are also good. Pretty nerveracking, but good overall.
- I really like the idea of the oral final. Even though it is scary, it really shows how much you know about the subject, better than any exam would.
- The oral exams allow a true assessment of understanding better than pretty much anything else.



- 1. Overview of course and evolution of curriculum
- 2. Project-based learning
- 3. Conceptual learning
- 4. Student performance and evaluation data

#### **Importance of Implementation**

- Effective implementation of concept questions is not trivial and impacts entire pedagogy
- In Fall 2000, we implemented concept questions in-class but pre-reading assignments were too simplistic
- The Fall 2000 experience led directly to the current implementation

# Impact of Implementation on Performance

In Fall 2000 and Fall 2003, gave very similar written final exams:

- 5 questions on the final exams
- 3 questions were identical
- 2 were of similar difficulty but different due to changed coverage

Of the three identical questions:

- Conceptual question on the differences in drag estimation between vortex lattice and Euler methods at subsonic and transonic speeds
- Quantitative question on the boundary layer estimation using Thwaites method
- Synthesis question on the development of a model for the aerodynamic forces on a refueling boom with a control wing

Note: Fall 2003 written final exam was a one-time exception to gather data

#### Impact of Implementation: Detailed Comparison



• Very similar performance on conceptual question

- Reduction in low performance (0-60% grade) from 2000 to 2003:
  - 2000: ~40% students
  - 2003: ~20% students

• Definite improvement in quantitative performance

# Student Evaluations of Pedagogy: Reading & Homework



Reading & homework more effective with increased difficulty

# **Student Evaluations of Pedagogy:**

#### Lecture



Lecture more effective with increased difficulty of pre-class homework

### Impact of Pedagogy on Performance

Comparison of Fall 1998 and Fall 2003 written exams:

- 6 questions in 1998 vs. 5 questions in 2003
- 1 question (a synthesis question) was identical
- Other questions were of similar difficulty but different
- Extra credit questions were given in 1998

Note: Fall 2003 written final exam was a one-time exception to gather data

## Comparison of Final Exam Grades: Impact of Pedagogy



#### Conclusions

- Team projects can augment learning experiences and increase understanding of technical fundamentals
- In-class concept questions, pre-class assignments, and oral exams were found to be effective learning and assessment strategies, but implementation is critical.
- Identification of misconceptions and the development of good concept questions is difficult.
- Students recognize the benefits of these pedagogies when effectively implemented.